arXiv:1701.08389v1 [math.CO] 29 Jan 2017

Sharp upper bounds for multiplicative Zagreb indices of bipartite graphs with given diameter

Chunxiang Wang[a], Jia-Bao Liu[b] Shaohui Wang[c,*]

a. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P.R. China

b. School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei 230601, P.R. China

c. Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adelphi University, Garden City, NY 11550, USA

Abstract

The first multiplicative Zagreb index of a graph G is the product of the square of every vertex, while the second mutiplicative Zagreb index is the product of the degree of each edge over all edges. In our work, we explore the multiplicative Zagreb indices of bipartite graphs of order n with diameter d, and sharp upper bounds are obtained for these indices of graphs in $\mathcal{B}(n,d)$, where $\mathcal{B}(n,d)$ is the set of all n-vertex bipartite graphs with the diameter d. In addition, we explore the relationship between the maximal multiplicative Zagreb indices of graphs among $\mathcal{B}(n,d)$. As consequences, bipartite graphs with the largest, second-largest and smallest mutiplicative Zagreb indices are characterized, and our results extends and enriches some known conclusions.

Keywords: Bipartite graphs; Diameter; Multiplicative Zagreb indices.
AMS subject classification: 05C05, 05C12

1 Introduction

In the interdisplinary of chemistry, physics and mathematics, molecular graph invariants or descriptors could be used in the study of quantitative structure-property relationships(QSAR) and quantitative structure-activity relationships(QSPR). It would be helpful for describing partially biological and chemical properties, including physico-chemical (boiling and melting points) and biological properties(toxicity) [1, 11]. Among the most significant molecular descriptors, the classically molecular invariants are named as Zagreb indices [2], which are expressed as expected formulas for the total π-electron energy of conjugated molecules as follows.

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{u\in V(G)} d(u)^2, \quad M_2(G) = \sum_{uv\in E(G)} d(u)d(v),$$

where G is a (molecular) graph, uv is a bond between two atoms u and v, and $d(u)$ (or $d(v)$, respectively) is the number of atoms that are connected with u (or v, respectively).

*Authors'email address: C. Wang(e-mail: wcxiang@mail.ccnu.edu.cn), J.B. Liu (e-mail: liujiabaoad@163.com), S. Wang (e-mail: shaohuiwang@yahoo.com)

Many researchers are attracted by the idea of finding bounds for graph invariants and the related problem of figuring out the graphs achieving the maximum and minimum values of corresponding indices [3, 4]. Nowadays, there are lots of articles explored Zagreb indices in the interdisplinary of chemistry and mathematics [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. For instance, Borovićanin et al. [18] introduced bounds on Zagreb indices of trees in terms of domination number and extremal trees are characterized. Guo et al. [21] provided the values of $M_1(G)$ of bipartite graphs. Cheng et al. [22, 23] studied the upper and lower bounds for the first Zagreb index with a given number of vertices and edges. Considering the successful applications on Zagreb indices [10], Todeschini et al.(2010) [12, 16] presented the following multiplicative variants of molecular structure descriptors:

$$\prod_1(G) = \prod_{u\in V(G)} d(u)^2, \quad \prod_2(G) = \prod_{uv\in E(G)} d(u)d(v) = \prod_{u\in V(G)} d(u)^{d(u)}.$$

Recently, (mutiplicative) Zagreb indices on complicate graphs are attracted the attentions of many researchers. Xu and Hua [13] provided a unified approach to characterize extremal (maximal and minimal) trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs with respect to multiplicative Zagreb indices, respectively. Liu and Zhang [15] investigated several sharp upper bounds for $\prod_1$-index and $\prod_2$-index in terms of graph parameters such as the order, size and radius [15]. Wang and Wei [16] gave sharp upper and lower bounds of multiplicative Zagreb indices for k-trees. Feng et al. [24] determined the graphs with given diameter for Zagreb indices. Li and Zhang [25] gave the upper bounds for Zagreb indices of bipartite graphs with a given diameter.

Motivated by above statements, in this paper we further investigate sharp upper bounds that are obtained for the multiplicative Zagreb indices of graphs in $\mathcal{B}(n,d)$. In addition, we explore the relationship between the maximal multiplicative Zagreb indices of graphs among $\mathcal{B}(n,d)$. As consequences, bipartite graphs with the largest, second-largest and smallest mutiplicative Zagreb indices are characterized.

2 Preliminary

Let G be a simple, connected and undirected graph. Denote a graph by $G = (V, E)$ with the vertex set $V = V(G)$ and the edge set $E = E(G)$. $|G|$ is defined as the cardinality of $V(G)$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the neighborhood of v is the set $N(v) = N_G(v) = \{w \in V(G), vw \in E(G)\}$, and $d_G(v)$ (or briefly $d(v)$) denotes the degree of v with $d_G(v) = |N(v)|$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $F \subseteq E(G)$, we use $G[S]$ for the subgraph of G induced by S, $G - S$ for the subgraph induced by $V(G) - S$ and $G - F$ for the subgraph of G obtained by deleting F. Let $\mathcal{B}(n,d)$ be the set of n-vertex bipartite graphs with diameter d. We denote $\lfloor x \rfloor$ by the largest integer not greater than x and $\lceil x \rceil$ by the smallest integer not less than x, where x is a real number.

Note that if $d = 1$, the uniqe bipartite graph is K_2. So we should assume that $d \geq 2$ in the whole work. Clearly, there exists a partition $V_0, V_1, \cdots, V_d$ of $V(G)$ such that $V_0 = \{u\}$ and $V_i = \{v : d(u,v) = i, v \in V(G)\}$ with $1 \leq i \leq d$. We called this partition as a d-partition. Also, each V_i

$(0 \leq i \leq d)$ is called a partition set. Let $|V_j| = m_j$, $1 \leq j \leq d$. By the routine calculations, one can derive the following propositions.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+m}$ be a function with $m > 0$. Then $f(x)$ is increasing in $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let $g(x) = \frac{x^x}{(x+m)^{x+m}}$ be a function with $m > 0$. Then $g(x)$ is decreasing in $\mathbb{R}$.*

3 The graphs achieving the sharp upper bounds of mutiplicative Zagreb indices

We first introduce several lemmas, which are critical to deduce the sharp upper bounds of mutiplicative Zagreb indices.

Lemma 3.1. *[20] Let G be a graph in $\mathcal{B}(n,d)$ with a d-partition of $V(G)$. Then the induced graph $G[V_i]$ is an empty graph (that is, $G[V_i]$ has no edges) for all i with $0 \leq i \leq d$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $G \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ with the maximal $\prod_1$-value. Then the induced graph $G[V_{i-1} \cup V_i]$ is a complete bipartite subgraph for all i with $1 \leq i \leq d$. Furthermore, if $d \geq 3$ then $|V_d| = 1$; If $d = 2$, then $|V_1| = |V_2| = \frac{n-1}{2}$ with odd n and $|V_1| = \frac{n}{2}$, $|V_2| = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ with even n.*

Proof. By the concept of multiplicative Zagreb indices, the first part of this lemma is directly deduced, that is, the induced graph $G[V_{i-1} \cup V_i]$ is a complete bipartite subgraph for all i with $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Next, we will prove the second part by a contradiction. Let $d \geq 3$, $x \in V_d$ and $y \in V_{d-3}$. If $|V_d| \geq 2$, then $G + xy \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ and $V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_{d-3} \cup (V_{d-2} \cup \{x\}) \cup V_{d-1} \cup (V_d - \{x\})$ is a partition of $G + xy$. By the routine calculations, we see that $\prod_1(G + xy) > \prod_1(G)$, a contradiction.

Finally, let $d = 2$. Suppose $|V_1| = s, |V_2| = t$, since $|V_0| = 1$, $s+t+1 = n$. If $t \geq 2$, then $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ and $V_0' \cup V_1' \cup \cup V_2'$ is a partition of G' with $V_0' = V_0, V_1' = V_1 \cup \{x\}, V_2' = V_2 - \{x\}$, where $x \in V_2$. Since $G[V_{i-1}' \cup V_i']$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is a complete bipartite subgraph, by the routine calculations, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\prod_1(G)}{\prod_1(G')} &= \frac{s^2(t+1)^{2s}s^{2t}}{(s+1)^{2t}t^{2(s+1)}} \\
&= \frac{(\frac{s}{s+1})^{2t}s^2}{(\frac{t}{t+1})^{2s}t^2} \ \ (by\ \ proposition\ \ 2.1) \\
&< 1 \quad when \quad s < t; \\
&> 1 \quad when \quad s > t; \\
&= 1 \quad when \quad s = t. \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, when $t \geq 2$, if $d = 2$, then $s = t = \frac{n-1}{2}$ with odd n and $s = \frac{n}{2}$, $t = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ with even n. When $t = 1$ and $d = 2$, we have $|V_0| = t = 1, s = n - 2$, then $\prod_1 = (n-2)^4 4^{(n-2)}$. By the routine calculations, we completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

By the same method of Lemma 3.2, the following lemma is obtained analogously.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $G \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ with the maximal $\prod_2$-value. Then the induced graph $G[V_{i-1} \cup V_i]$ is a complete bipartite subgraph for all i with $1 \leq i \leq d$, and $|V_d| = 1$ if $d \geq 3$; if $d = 2$, then $|V_1| = |V_2| = \frac{n-1}{2}$ with n is odd and $|V_1| = \frac{n}{2}$, $|V_2| = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ with n is even.*

Proof. By the same method of Lemma 3.2, the induced graph $G[V_{i-1} \cup V_i]$ is a complete bipartite subgraph for all i with $1 \leq i \leq d$, and $|V_d| = 1$ if $d \geq 3$.

Let $d = 2$, and we suppose $|V_1| = s, |V_2| = t$, since $|V_0| = 1$, $s+t+1 = n$. If $t \geq 2$, then $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ and $V_0' \cup V_1' \cup \cup V_2'$ is a partition of G' with $V_0' = V_0, V_1' = V_1 \cup \{x\}, V_2' = V_2 - \{x\}$, where $x \in V_2$. Since $G[V_{i-1}' \cup V_i']$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is a complete bipartite subgraph, by the routine calculations, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\prod_2(G)}{\prod_2(G')} &= \frac{s^s(t+1)^{s(t+1)}s^{st}}{(s+1)^{t(s+1)}t^{t(s+1)}} \\
&= \frac{[s(t+1)]^{s(t+1)}}{[t(s+1)]^{t(s+1)}} \\
&< 1 \quad when \quad s < t; \\
&> 1 \quad when \quad s > t; \\
&= 1 \quad when \quad s = t. \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, when $t \geq 2$, if $d = 2$, then $s = t = \frac{n-1}{2}$ with n is odd and $s = \frac{n}{2}$, $t = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ with n is even. when $t = 1$ and $d = 2$, we have $|V_0| = t = 1, s = n - 2$, then $\prod_2 = (n-2)^{2n-4}4^{(n-2)}$. By the routine calculations, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $G \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ with the maximal $\prod_1$-value. Then there exist at most two partition sets V_i and V_j such that $|V_i| \geq 2, |V_j| \geq 2$ and $|i-j| = 1$.*

Proof. For $d = 2$, the proof is done. For $d = 3$, by $|V_0| = |V_d| = 1$, the result is also proved. Now we consider the case of $d \geq 4$. If there exists just one partition set (say V_i) in G such that $|V_i| \geq 2$, then we are done. In order to show this lemma, it is enough to prove that if there exist at least two partition sets whose orders are greater than or equal to 2. Then we can deduce that for each pair of such partition sets (say V_i and V_j) with $|V_i| \geq 2$ and $|V_j| \geq 2$, one must have $|i-j| = 1$. Note that by Lemma 3.2, $G[V_{\ell-1} \cup V_\ell]$ is a complete bipartite subgraph for each $\ell \in \{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$. We can obtain that each vertex in partition set V_ℓ has the same degree (say d_ℓ) $\ell = 1, \cdots, d$.

Choose a graph G in $\mathcal{B}(n,d)$ such that it is achieving the maximal value of the first multiplicative Zagreb index. Assume that there exist two partition sets V_i and V_j such that $|V_i| \geq 2, |V_j| \geq 2$ and $|i-j| \geq 2$. We consider two cases below.

Case 1. $m_{i+1} = \cdots = m_{j-1} = 1$.

In this case, we set that

$A = (m_{i-2} + m_i)^{m_{i-1}}(1+m_i)(1+m_j)(1+m_{j+1})(m_j + m_{j+2})^{m_{j+1}}$,

$B = (m_{i-2} + m_i + 1)^{m_{i-1}}(2+m_i)m_j(1+m_{i-1})(m_j + m_{j+2} - 1)^{m_{j+1}}$,

$C = (1+m_j)(m_j + m_{j+2})^{m_{j+1}}(1+m_i)(1+m_{i-1})(m_i + m_{i-2})^{m_{i-1}}$,

$D = (2+m_j)(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{m_{j+1}}(m_i)(1+m_{j+1})(m_i+m_{i-2}-1)^{m_{i-1}}$.

Subcase 1.1. $A < B$.

We choose a vertex $u \in V_j$ and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and joining u to each vertex in $V_{i-1} \cup V_{i+1}$ of G. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\prod_1(G)}{\prod_1(G')} &= \frac{d_{i-1}^{2m_{i-1}} d_i^{2m_i} d_{i+1}^{2m_{i+1}} d_{j-1}^{2m_{j-1}} d_j^{2m_j} d_{j+1}^{2m_{j+1}}}{(d_{i-1}+1)^{2m_{i-1}} d_i^{2(m_i+1)} (d_{i+1}+1)^{2m_{i+1}} (d_{j-1}-1)^{2m_{j-1}} d_j^{2(m_j-1)} (d_{j+1}-1)^{2m_{j+1}}} \\ &= (\frac{m_{i-2}+m_i}{1+m_{i-2}+m_i})^{2m_{i-1}} (\frac{1+m_i}{2+m_i})^2 [\frac{(1+m_j)(1+m_{j+1})(m_j+m_{j+2})^{m_{j+1}}}{m_j(1+m_{i-1})(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)^{m_{j+1}}}]^2 (by\ (3)) \\ &= \frac{A^2}{B^2} < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Subcase 1.2. $A \geq B$.

By straightforwardly checking, we have the claim below.

Claim 1 If $A \leq B$, then $D < C$.

By Claim 1, if $C \leq D$, then $A > B$. Thus, if $A \geq B$, then $C < D$. (Otherwise, if $A \geq B$, then $C > D \Leftrightarrow$ if $C \leq D$, then $A \leq B$, a contradiction).

We choose a vertex $u \in V_i$, and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and joining u to each vertex in $V_{j-1} \cup V_{j+1}$ of G. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$. Hence we have

$$\frac{\prod_1(G)}{\prod_1(G')} = \frac{C^2}{D^2} < 1.$$

Case 2. V_i, V_{i+1} and V_{i+2} is successive three partitions such that $|V_i| \geq 2$, $|V_{i+1}| \geq 2$ and $|V_{i+2}| \geq 2$, where $V_j = V_{i+2}$.

Now we set that

$A' = (m_{i-2}+m_i)^{m_{i-1}}(m_{i+1}+m_{j+1})(m_j+m_{j+2})^{m_{j+1}}$,

$B' = (m_{i-2}+m_i+1)^{m_{i-1}}(m_{i-1}+m_{i+1})(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)^{m_{j+1}}$,

$C' = (m_j+m_{j+2})^{m_{j+1}}(m_{i+1}+m_{i-1})(m_i+m_{i-2})^{m_{i-1}}$,

$D' = (m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{m_{j+1}}(m_{i+1}+m_{j+1})(m_i+m_{i-2}-1)^{m_{i-1}}$.

Subcase 2.1 $A' < B'$.

We choose a vertex $u \in V_j$, and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and joining u to each vertex in $V_{i-1} \cup V_{i+1}$ of G. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$. Hence we have

$$\frac{\prod_1(G)}{\prod_1(G')} = (\frac{A'}{B'})^2 < 1.$$

Subcase 2.2. $A' \geq B'$.

By direct check, we have that

Claim 2 If $A' \leq B'$, then $D' < C'$.

By Claim 2 and $C' \leq D'$, we have $A' > B'$. Thus, if $A' \geq B'$, then $C' < D'$. (Otherwise, if $A' \geq B'$, then $C' > D' \Leftrightarrow$ if $C' \leq D'$, then $A' < B'$, a contradiction). Thus If $A' \geq B'$then $C' < D'$.

We choose a vertex $u \in V_i$, and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and joining u to each vertex in $V_{j-1} \cup V_{j+1}$ of G. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$. Hence we have

$$\frac{\prod_1(G)}{\prod_1(G')} = (\frac{C'}{D'})^2 < 1$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. □

By the same method of Lemma 3.4, the following lemma is obtained analogously.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $G \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ with the maximal $\prod_2$-value. Then there exist at most two partition sets V_i and V_j such that $|V_i| \geq 2$, $|V_j| \geq 2$ and $|i-j| = 1$.*

Proof. If $d = 2$, the proof is done. If $d = 3$, by $|V_0| = |V_d| = 1$, the proof is also done. Now we consider the case of $d \geq 4$. If there exists just one partition set (say V_i) in G such that $|V_i| \geq 2$, then we are done. In order to show this lemma, it is enough to prove that if there exist at least two partition sets whose orders are greater than or equal to 2, then we can deduce that for each pair of such partition sets (say V_i and V_j) with $|V_i| \geq 2$ and $|V_j| \geq 2$, and one must have $|i-j| = 1$. Note that by Lemma 3.2, $G[V_{\ell-1} \cup V_\ell]$ is a complete bipartite subgraph for each $\ell \in \{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$. We can obtain that each of the vertices in partition set V_ℓ has the same degree (say d_ℓ) $\ell = 1, \cdots, d$.

Choose a graph G in $\mathcal{B}(n,d)$ such that it is achieving the maximal value of the sencond multiplicative Zagreb index. Assume that there exist two partition sets V_i and V_j such that $|V_i| \geq 2, |V_j| \geq 2$ and $|i-j| \geq 2$.

Case 1. $m_{i+1} = \cdots = m_{j-1} = 1$.

Here we let that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= (m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)m_{i-1}}(1+m_{j+1})^{(1+m_{j+1})}(1+m_i)^{(1+m_i)}(1+m_j)^{(1+m_j)} \\
&\quad (m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})m_{j+1}}, \\
B_1 &= (m_{i-2}+m_i+1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i+1)m_{i-1}}(1+m_{i-1})^{(1+m_{i-1})}(2+m_i)^{(2+m_i)}(m_j)^{m_j} \\
&\quad (m_j+m_{j+2}-1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)m_{j+1}}, \\
C_1 &= (m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)m_{i-1}}(1+m_{i-1})^{(1+m_{i-1})}(1+m_i)^{(1+m_i)}(1+m_j)^{(1+m_j)} \\
&\quad (m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})m_{j+1}}, \\
D_1 &= (m_{i-2}+m_i-1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)m_{i-1}}(1+m_{j+1})^{(1+m_{j+1})}(m_i)^{m_i}(2+m_j)^{(2+m_j)} \\
&\quad (m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)m_{j+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Subase 1.1. $A_1 < B_1$.

We choose a vertex $u \in V_j$, and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and

joining u to each vertex in $V_{i-1} \cup V_{i+1}$ of G. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\prod_2(G)}{\prod_2(G')} &= \frac{(m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)m_{i-1}}(1+m_{j+1})^{(1+m_{j+1})}(1+m_i)^{(1+m_i)}}{(m_{i-2}+m_i+1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i+1)m_{i-1}}(1+m_{i-1})^{(1+m_{i-1})}(2+m_i)^{(2+m_i)}} \\ &\times \frac{(1+m_j)^{(1+m_j)}(m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})m_{j+1}}}{(m_j)^{m_j}(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)m_{j+1}}} \\ &= \frac{A_1}{B_1} < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Subcase 1.2. $A_1 \geq B_1$.

We consider a claim here.

Claim 3 If $A_1 \geq B_1$, then $D_1 > C_1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= (m_{i-2}+m_i-1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)m_{i-1}}(1+m_{j+1})^{(1+m_{j+1})}(m_i)^{m_i} \\ &\quad \times (2+m_j)^{(2+m_j)}(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)m_{j+1}} \\ &= \frac{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)m_{i-1}}(m_i)^{m_i}(2+m_j)^{(2+m_j)}}{(m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)m_{i-1}}(1+m_i)^{(1+m_i)}(1+m_j)^{(1+m_j)}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)m_{j+1}}}{(m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})m_{j+1}}} \times A_1 \ \ (Note \ \ A_1 \ \ \geq B_1) \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{\frac{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)}}{(m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)}}}{\frac{(m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)}}{(m_{i-2}+m_i+1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i+1)}}}\Big)^{m_{i-1}} \times \Big(\frac{\frac{(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)}}{(m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})}}}{\frac{(m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})}}{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)}}}\Big)^{m_{j+1}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\frac{(m_j)^{m_j}}{(m_j+1)^{(m_j+1)}}}{\frac{(m_j+1)^{(m_j+1)}}{(m_j+2)^{(m_j+2)}}} \times \frac{\frac{(m_i)^{m_i}}{(m_i+1)^{(m_i+1)}}}{\frac{(m_{i2}+1)^{(m_i+1)}}{(m_i+2)^{(m_i+2)}}} \times C_1 \\ &> C_1. \ \ (by \ \ proposition \ \ 2.2) \end{aligned}$$

We choose a vertex $u \in V_i$, and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and joining u to each vertex in $V_{j-1} \cup V_{j+1}$ of G. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$. Hence we have

$$\frac{\prod_2(G)}{\prod_2(G')} = \frac{C_1}{D_1} < 1.$$

Case 2. V_i, V_{i+1} and V_{i+2} is successive three partitions such that $|V_i| \geq 2$, $|V_{i+1}| \geq 2$ and $|V_{i+2}| \geq 2$, where $V_j = V_{i+2}$.

Here we let that

$$\begin{aligned} A_1' &= (m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)m_{i-1}}(m_{i+1}+m_{j+1})^{(m_{i+1}+m_{j+1})}(m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})m_{j+1}}, \\ B_1' &= (m_{i-2}+m_i+1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i+1)m_{i-1}}(m_{i+1}+m_{i-1})^{(m_{i+1}+m_{i-1})}(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)m_{j+1}}, \\ C_1' &= (m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)m_{i-1}}(m_{i+1}+m_{i-1})^{(m_{i+1}+m_{i-1})}(m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})m_{j+1}}, \\ D_1' &= (m_{i-2}+m_i-1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)m_{i-1}}(m_{i+1}+m_{j+1})^{(m_{i+1}+m_{j+1})}(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)m_{j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2.1. $A_1' < B_1'$.

We choose a vertex $u \in V_j$ and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and joining u to each vertex in $V_{i-1} \cup V_{i+1}$ of G. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$. Hence we have

$$\frac{\prod_2(G)}{\prod_2(G')} = \frac{A_1'}{B_1'} < 1.$$

Subcase 2.2. $A_1' \geq B_1'$.

Claim 4 If $A_1' \geq B_1'$, then $D_1' > C_1'$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1' &= (m_{i-2}+m_i-1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)m_{i-1}}(m_{i+1}+m_{j+1})^{(m_{i+1}+m_{j+1})} \\
&\quad \times (m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)m_{j+1}} \\
&= \frac{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)m_{i-1}}(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)m_{j+1}}}{(m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)m_{i-1}}(m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})m_{j+1}}} \times A_1' \quad (A_1' \geq B_1') \\
&\geq \Big(\frac{\frac{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i-1)}}{(m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)}}}{\frac{(m_{i-2}+m_i)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i)}}{(m_{i-2}+m_i+1)^{(m_{i-2}+m_i+1)}}}\Big)^{m_{i-1}} \times \Big(\frac{\frac{(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}-1)}}{(m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})}}}{\frac{(m_j+m_{j+2})^{(m_j+m_{j+2})}}{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)^{(m_j+m_{j+2}+1)}}}\Big)^{m_{j+1}} \times C_1' \\
&> C_1'. \ (\textit{by proposition } 2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

We choose a vertex $u \in V_i$ and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and joining u to each vertex in $V_{j-1} \cup V_{j+1}$ of G. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$. Hence we have

$$\frac{\prod_2(G)}{\prod_2(G')} = \frac{C_1'}{D_1'} < 1.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5. □

Let $G \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ with the maximal value of the second multiplicative Zagreb index. In view of Lemma 3.4, assume that $|V_a| > 1$ and $|V_{a+1}| > 1$, and $|V_j| = 1$ for $j \in \{0,1,\cdots,d\} - \{a, a+1\}$. By Lemma 3.2, any two consecutive partition sets induce a complete bipartite subgraph. Therefore, we can define G by $G[a \cdot 1, s, t, b \cdot 1]$, where $s = m_a = |V_a|, t = m_{a+1} = |V_{a+1}|$, $a+b = d-1$ and $s+t = n-d+1$; In the whole of our context we assume, without loss of generality, that $a \leq b$ for graph $G[a \cdot 1, s, t, b \cdot 1]$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $G[a \cdot 1, s, t, b \cdot 1] \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ be a graph with the maximal value of $\prod_1$-value. Then $|s-t| \leq 1$.*

Proof. If $d = 2$, then the proof is straightforward. Now we suppose $d \geq 3$. According to the construction of the partition sets of G and by Lemma 3.1, we have $a \geq 1, b \geq 1$. Suppose $|s-t| \geq 2$; we assume without loss of generality that $t > s$, and then $t - s \geq 2$. Because $s = |V_a|$, $t = |V_{a+1}|$, we have $d_a = t+1$, $d_{a+1} = s+1$. Similarly, we have d_{a-2}, $d_{a+3} \in \{1,2\}$. Thus $|d_{a-2} - d_{a+3}| \leq 2$.

Choose a vertex $u \in V_{a+1}$ and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and joining u to each vertex in $(V_{a-1} \cup V_{a+1}) - \{u\}$. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\prod_1(G)}{\prod_1(G')} &= \frac{(s+1)^2(t+1)^{2s}(s+1)^{2t}(t+1)^2}{(s+2)^2t^{2(s+1)}(s+2)^{2(t-1)}t^2} \\
&= \frac{(\frac{(s+1)}{(s+2)})^{2t}}{(\frac{t}{t+1})^{2s+2}}(\frac{s+1}{t})^2 \\
&= \frac{(\frac{(s+1)}{(s+2)})^{2s+2}}{(\frac{t}{t+1})^{2s+2}}(\frac{(s+1)}{(s+2)})^{2p+2}(\frac{s+1}{t})^2 \\
&(\text{ since } t \geq s+2, \text{ let } t = s+2+p \textit{ and} \text{ by proposition2.1}) \\
&< \frac{(s+1)}{(s+2)})^{2p+2}(\frac{(s+1)}{(s+2+p)})^2 \\
&< 1, \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.6. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $G[a\cdot 1, s, t, b\cdot 1] \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ be the graph with the maximal $\prod_2$-value. Then $|s-t| \leq 1$.*

Proof. If $d = 2$, then the proof is straightforward. Now we suppose $d \geq 3$. According to the construction of the partition sets of G and by Lemma 2.1, we have $a \geq 1, b \geq 1$. Suppose $|s-t| \geq 2$. We assume without loss of generality that $t > s$, and then $t - s \geq 2$. Because $s = |V_a|$, $t = |V_{a+1}|$, we have $d_a = t+1$, $d_{a+1} = s+1$. Similarly, we have d_{a-2}, $d_{a+3} \in \{1,2\}$. Thus $|d_{a-2} - d_{a+3}| \leq 2$.

Choose a vertex $u \in V_{a+1}$ and let G' be the graph obtained by deleting all edges incident to u and joining u to each vertex in $(V_{a-1} \cup V_{a+1}) - \{u\}$. Clearly $G' \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\prod_2(G)}{\prod_2(G')} &= \frac{(s+1)^{(s+1)} \cdot (t+1)^{s(t+1)} \cdot (s+1)^{t(s+1)} \cdot (t+1)^{t+1}}{(s+2)^{s+2} \cdot t^{t(s+1)} \cdot (s+2)^{(s+2)(t-1)} \cdot t^t} \\
&= \frac{[(s+1)(t+1)]^{(s+1)(t+1)}}{[t(s+2)]^{t(s+2)}} \\
&(\text{ Let } t = s+2+p) \\
&= \frac{(st+2s+p+3)^{(st+2s+p+3)}}{(st+2s+2p+4)^{(st+2s+2p+4)}} \\
&< 1, \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.7. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{B}(n,d)$ with the maximal $\prod_1$-value or $\prod_2$-value. Then $G \cong G[a\cdot 1, \lfloor\frac{n-d+1}{2}\rfloor, \lceil\frac{n-d+1}{2}\rceil, b\cdot 1]$. Furthermore a, b satisfy the following conditions with respect to the diameter d of G.*

(i) If $d = 2$,then $a = 0, b = 1$;

(ii) If $d = 3$, then $a = 1, b = 1$;

(iii) If $d = 4$, then $a = 1, b = 2$;

(iv) If $d = 5$, then $a = 2, b = 2$;

(v) If $d = 6$, then $a = 2, b = 3$;

(vi) If $d \geq 7$, then $a \geq 3, b \geq 3$.

4 Ordering the extremal graphs according to their diameters

In this section, we investigate the relationship between $\prod_i(G[a \cdot 1, \lfloor \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rceil, b \cdot 1])$ and d, $i = 1, 2$. As an application, we characterize the bipartite graphs with the largest, second-largest and smallest $\prod_1$-value (resp., $\prod_2$-value).

Theorem 4.1. *For $2 \leq d \leq n-1, \prod_1(G[a\cdot 1, \lfloor \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rceil, b\cdot 1])$ (resp. $\prod_2(G[a\cdot 1, \lfloor \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rceil, b\cdot 1])$ is a decreasing function on d.*

Proof. Let $G_d = G[a\cdot 1, \lfloor \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rceil, b\cdot 1])$. Put $f(d) = \prod_1(G_d), g(d) = \prod_2(G_d), d = 2, 3, \cdots, n-1$. In order to complete the proof of this theorem, it suffices to prove the following claims.

Claim 5. $f(n-1) < f(n-2) < \cdots < f(8) < f(7)$, $g(n-1) < g(n-2) < \cdots < g(6) < g(5)$.

Proof of Claim 5. Note that $d \leq n-1$; Hence we have $\lceil \frac{n-d}{2} \rceil \geq 1$. For $d \geq 7$, $f(d) = 4^{a+b-4}(s+1)^{2t+2}(t+1)^{2s+2}$, where $s = \lfloor \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rfloor$, $t = \lceil \frac{n-d+1}{2} \rceil$, $a + b = d - 1$,$s + t = n - d + 1$. Hence $f(d) = 4^{d-5}(s+1)^{2t+2}(t+1)^{2s+2}$. we have the following two cases:

Case 1. n, d are even or n, d are odd.

Then $n - d \geq 2$; an elementary calculation yields

$$f(d) = 4^{d-5}(\frac{n-d+2}{2})^{n-d+4}(\frac{n-d+4}{2})^{n-d+2}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(d+1)}{f(d)} &= \frac{4^{d-4}(\frac{n-d+1}{2})^{n-d+3}(\frac{n-d+3}{2})^{n-d+1}}{4^{d-5}(\frac{n-d+2}{2})^{n-d+4}(\frac{n-d+4}{2})^{n-d+2}} \\
&= 4(\frac{\frac{n-d+1}{2}}{\frac{n-d+2}{2}})^{n-d+3}(\frac{\frac{n-d+3}{2}}{\frac{n-d+4}{2}})^{n-d+1}(\frac{2}{n-d+2})(\frac{2}{n-d+4}) \\
&< \frac{16}{(n-d+2)(n-d+4)}(Since\ n-d \geq 1) \\
&< 1. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. n is even d is odd or n is odd and d is even.

Then $n - d \geq 3$, otherwise $n - d = 1$, there is no graph of diameter $d + 1$ with n vertices. The elementary calculation yields

$$f(d) = 4^{d-5}(\frac{n-d+1}{2})^{2(n-d+3)}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(d+1)}{f(d)} &= \frac{4^{d-4}(\frac{n-d}{2})^{2(n-d+2)}}{4^{d-5}(\frac{n-d+1}{2})^{2(n-d+3)}}\\ &= 4(\frac{\frac{n-d}{2}}{\frac{n-d+1}{2}})^{2(n-d+2)}(\frac{4}{(n-d+1)^2})\\ &< \frac{16}{(n-d+1)^2}(since\ n-d\geq 3)\\ &< 1. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Similarly, we can also show that that, for $d \geq 5$, $\frac{g(d+1)}{g(d)} < 1$.

This completes the proof of Claim 5.

Claim 6. $f(7) < f(6) < f(5) < f(4) < f(3) < f(2)$ and $g(5) < g(4) < g(3) < g(2)$.

Proof of Claim 6. With a similar method, we can also prove the part by direct computations. This completes the proof of Claim 6.

By Claims 5 and 6, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 1. *Among all bipartite graphs with order $n \geq 2$, $K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n}{2}\rceil}$ has the largest $\prod_1$-values and $\prod_2$-values, whereas P_n has the smallest $\prod_1$-values and$\prod_2$-values.*

Theorem 4.2. *Among all bipartite graphs with order $n > 2$, $K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n}{2}\rceil} - e$ has the second-largest $\prod_1$-values and $\prod_2$-values for odd n, and $K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n}{2}\rceil} - e$ has the second-largest $\prod_1$-values for even n and $K_{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n+2}{2}\rceil}$ has the second-largest $\prod_2$-values for even n.*

Proof. Note that $\prod_1(K_{s,t}) = t^{2s}s^{2t}$ and $\prod_2(K_{s,t}) = (st)^{st}$. By Theorem 4.1, we only need to compare the values of the second multiplicative Zagreb indices of $K_{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n+2}{2}\rceil}$ and $G[1, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor, \lceil \frac{n-2}{2}\rceil, 1]$. Note that $G[1, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor, \lceil \frac{n-2}{2}\rceil, 1] \cong K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n}{2}\rceil} - e$.

By direct computations, we have that

$$\prod_1(K_{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n+2}{2}\rceil}) = t^{2s}s^{2t} = (\lceil \frac{n+2}{2}\rceil)^{2(\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor)} \cdot (\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor)^{2(\lceil \frac{n+2}{2}\rceil)}$$

and

$$\prod_2(K_{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n+2}{2}\rceil}) = (ts)^{ts} = (\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor \cdot \lceil \frac{n+2}{2}\rceil)^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor \cdot \lceil \frac{n+2}{2}\rceil}.$$

Since $G[1, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor, \lceil \frac{n-2}{2}\rceil, 1] \cong K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n}{2}\rceil} - e$, we have that

$$\prod_1(K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n}{2}\rceil} - e) = (\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor + 1)^{2(\lceil \frac{n-2}{2}\rceil+1)} \cdot (\lceil \frac{n-2}{2}\rceil + 1)^{2(\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor+1)}$$

and

$$\prod_2(K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil \frac{n}{2}\rceil} - e) = [(\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor + 1)(\lceil \frac{n-2}{2}\rceil + 1)]^{(\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor+1)(\lceil \frac{n-2}{2}\rceil+1)}.$$

If n is even, by direct calculations, we obtain that

$$\prod_1(K_{\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n+2}{2}\rceil}) = (\frac{n}{2}+1)^{(n-2)}\cdot(\frac{n}{2}-1)^{(n+2)},$$

$$\prod_2(K_{\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n+2}{2}\rceil}) = (\frac{n-2}{2}\cdot\frac{n+2}{2})^{(\frac{n-2}{2}\cdot\frac{n+2}{2})},$$

$$\prod_1(K_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}-e) = (\frac{n}{2})^n\cdot(\frac{n}{2})^n$$

and

$$\prod_2(K_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}-e) = [\frac{n}{2}\cdot\frac{n}{2}]^{(\frac{n}{2}\cdot\frac{n}{2})}.$$

By direct calculations, we obtain that

$$\frac{\prod_1(K_{\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n+2}{2}\rceil})}{\prod_1(K_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}-e)} = (\frac{n/2-1}{n/2+1})^2\times(\frac{\frac{n/2-1}{n/2}}{\frac{n/2}{n/2+1}})^n < 1(by\ proposition 2.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\prod_2(K_{\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n+2}{2}\rceil})}{\prod_2(K_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}-e)} &= \frac{[(n/2+1)(n/2-1)]^{(n/2+1)(n/2-1)}}{[(n/2)(n/2)]^{(n/2)(n/2)}}\\ &= (\frac{\frac{(n/2-1)^{(n/2-1)}}{(n/2)^{(n/2)}}}{\frac{(n/2)^{(n/2)}}{(n/2+1)^{(n/2+1)}}})^{(n/2)}\times\frac{(n/2-1)^{(n/2-1)}}{(n/2+1)^{(n/2+1)}}\\ &> 1.(by\ proposition 2.2))\end{aligned}$$

If n is odd, by direct calculations, we get that

$$\prod_1(K_{\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n+2}{2}\rceil}) = (\frac{n+3}{2})^{(n-3)}\cdot(\frac{n-3}{2})^{(n+3)},$$

$$\prod_2(K_{\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n+2}{2}\rceil}) = (\frac{n-3}{2}\cdot\frac{n+3}{2})^{(\frac{n-3}{2}\cdot\frac{n+3}{2})},$$

$$\prod_1(K_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}-e) = (\frac{n-1}{2})^{n+1}\cdot(\frac{n+1}{2})^{(n-1)}$$

and

$$\prod_2(K_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}-e) = [\frac{n-1}{2}\cdot\frac{n+1}{2}]^{(\frac{n-1}{2}\cdot\frac{n+1}{2})}.$$

By direct calculations, we also get that

$$\frac{\prod_1(K_{\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n+2}{2}\rceil})}{\prod_1(K_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}-e)} = (\frac{\frac{(n-3)/2}{(n-1)/2}}{\frac{(n+1)/2}{(n+3)/2}})^{n-3}\times(\frac{(n-3)/2}{(n+1)/2})^2\times(\frac{(n-3)/2}{(n-1)/2})^4 < 1(by\ proposition 2.1)$$

and

$$\frac{\prod_2(K_{\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n+2}{2}\rceil})}{\prod_2(K_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor,\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}-e)} = \frac{(\frac{n^2-9}{4})^{\frac{n^2-9}{4}}}{(\frac{n^2-1}{4})^{\frac{n^2-1}{4}}} < 1.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3. □

Acknowledgements.

The work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 11371162, 11571134, 11601006, and the Self-determined Research Funds of CCNU from the colleges basic research and operation of MOE, and the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province of China under Grant no. KJ2013B105, the Natural Science Foundation for the Higher Education Institutions of Anhui Province of China under Grant no. KJ2015A331.

References

[1] S. Klavžar, I. Gutman, The Szeged and the Wiener index of graphs, Appl. Math. Lett. 9 (1996), 45-49.

[2] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, Chem. Phys. Lett. 17 (1972) 535-538.

[3] J.-B. Liu, C. Wang, S. Wang, B. Wei, Zagreb Indices and Multiplicative Zagreb Indices of Eulerian graphs, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, (DOI: 10.1007/s40840-017-0463-2).

[4] J.-B. Liu, S. Wang, C. Wang, S. Hayat, Further results on computation of topological indices of certain networks, IET Control Theory & Applications, (DOI: 10.1049/iet-cta.2016.1237).

[5] X. Li, Y. Shi, A survey on the randic index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 59 (2008) 127-156.

[6] Y. Shi, Note on two generalizations of the randic index, Appl. Math. Comput. 265 (2015) 1019-1025.

[7] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, S. Ediz, On difference of Zagreb indices, Discr. Appl. Math 178 (2014) 83-88.

[8] S.M. Hosamani, I. Gutman, Zagreb indices of transformation graphs and total transformation graphs, Appl. Math. Comput 247 (2014) 1156-1160.

[9] J.F. Wang, F. Belardo, A lower bound for the first Zagreb index and its application, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 74 (2015) 35-56.

[10] I. Gutman, On the origin of two degreeCbased topological indices, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts. (Cl. Sci. Math.) 146 (2014) 39-52.

[11] J. B. Liu, X. F. Pan, A unified approach to the asymptotic topological indices of various lattices, Appl. Math. Comput. 270 (2015) 62-73.

[12] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, New local vertex invariants and molecular descriptors based on functions of the vertex degrees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 64 (2010) 359-372.

[13] K. Xu, H. Hua, A unified approach to extremal multiplicative Zagreb indices for trees, unicyclic and bicyclic graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 68 (2012) 241-256.

[14] A. Iranmanesh, M.A. Hosseinzadeh, I. Gutman, On multiplicative Zagreb indices of graphs, Iranian J. Math. Chem. 3 (2) (2012) 145-154.

[15] J. Liu, Q. Zhang, Sharp upper bounds for multiplicative Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 68 (2012) 231-240.

[16] S. Wang, B. Wei, Multiplicative Zagreb indices of k-trees, Discrete Appl. Math. 180 (2015) 168-175.

[17] R. Kazemi, Note on the multiplicative Zagreb indices, Discrete Appl. Math. 198 (2016) 147-154.

[18] B. Borovićanin., B. Furtula, On extremal Zagreb indices of trees with given domination number, Appl. Math. Comput. 279 (2016) 208-218.

[19] B. Borovićanin , T.A. Lampert , On the Maximum and Minimum Zagreb Indices of Trees with a Given Number of Vertices of Maximum Degree. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 74(2015)81-96

[20] M. Zhai, R. Liu, J. Shu, On the spectral radius of bipartite graphs with given diameter, Linear Algebra Appl. 430 (2009) 1165C1170.

[21] Y. Guo, Y. Du, Y. Wang, Bipartite graphs with extreme values of the first general Zagreb index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 63 (2010) 469-480.

[22] T. Cheng, Extremal values of the sum of squares of degrees of bipartite graphs, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 1557-1564.

[23] Y. Guo, Research on the Zagreb indices of bipartite graphs, Master Thesis, Northwestern Poly. Univ., 2007.

[24] L. Feng, A. Ilic, W. Liu, Zagreb indices of graphs with given diameter (submitted for publication).

[25] S. Li, M. Zhang, Sharp upper bounds for Zagreb indices of bipartite graphs with a given diameter, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011) 131-137